

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/710,654	DEETER ET AL.	

All Participants: _____ **Status of Application:** _____

(1) Jeffrey C. Mullis. (3) _____. _____

(2) Jeffrey Lomprey. (4) _____. _____

Date of Interview: 16 September 2008 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____ .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Those relying on Emmons and Brandstetter.

Claims discussed:

23

Prior art documents discussed:

Emmons and Brandstetter

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicants attorney was contacted to obtain permission for an Examiners amendment which would introduce the same language to claim 23 as was introduced into claim 1 with the RCE of 7-24 so that the claims would be allowable.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jeffrey C. Mullis/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)